2021 Volume 43 Issue 7
ISSN: 0142–0461

European Intellectual Property Review

Table of Contents

Opinion

SIMON HOLZER, ULRIKE CIESLA, LOUISA GALBRAITH AND MICHAEL RITSCHER

Revision of the Swiss Patent Act: Overdue or Redundant? 415
Switzerland is currently considering introducing a Swiss patent that is fully examined with regard to novelty and inventive step and, additionally, an unexamined Swiss utility model as a more cost-effective alternative protective right. This opinion discusses this proposed modernisation of the Swiss Patent Act.

Articles

BURTON ONG AND MARISSA CHOK

Patent Injunctions, Standard Essential Patents and Patents Essential to de Facto Standards: European, German and Korean Perspectives 417
Patent injunctions against unlicensed defendants who implement a patented technology standard may produce exclusionary effects that are anti-competitive. Should it make a difference whether the patent in question (1) involves a technology standard developed under the auspices of a standard-setting organisation (i.e. a standard essential patent or SEP); or (2) covers an invention that is incorporated into technology standard without going through this standard-setting process (i.e. a patent that is essential to a de facto standard or "de facto essential patent")? The potential anti-competitive effects are the same in both categories of patents, yet the legal frameworks of jurisdictions that have considered these legal issues appear to differentiate between them. This article examines the validity of distinguishing between these two groups of patented standards, using examples from various jurisdictions to illustrate the bifurcated approach that has been taken towards placing limitations on the patent holder's freedom to seek injunctive relief.

YURONG ZHANG

A Study on Punitive Damages for the Infringement of Intellectual Property Rights in China 433
The punitive damages system plays a vital role in compensating intellectual property (IP) holders for damages, fighting against malicious infringement and promoting innovation. To reduce the increasing infringement of intellectual property rights (IPRs), China has introduced punitive damages in IP legal system since 2013. However, the conditions for the application of punitive damages in judicial practice are still very controversial, including how to identify "malicious" or "intentional" infringement and "serious circumstances" as well as the calculation of damages. This article explains the background of China's punitive damages system for the infringement of IPRs, focuses on the judicial application of punitive damages in the field of trademark law and analyses important disputes. The introduction of punitive damages conforms to the trend of further strengthening IPRs protection in China and is beneficial to technological progress and innovation.

EMMANUEL KOLAWOLE OKE

Geographical Indications and Tobacco Control Measures: What about the Legitimate Interests of the Owners of Geographical Indications? 440
The decision of the WTO Panel in the *Australia—Plain Packaging* case as it relates to geographical indications (GIs) is consistent with the TRIPS Agreement. This article, however, contends that the decision exposes a lacuna in the TRIPS Agreement regarding the recognition of the legitimate interests of GI owners in using their GIs in the course of trade vis-à-vis the right of states to regulate the use of GIs.

KANCHANA KARIYAWASAM AND BRANDON SHIEL-BUTERA

Podcasting and Copyright Law in Australia 447
With its rising popularity, podcasting is an undeniable revolution in technology, which has challenged the traditional norms of copyright law. While podcasting has come a long way since its introduction, how copyright law accommodates podcasting technology is not yet clear. This article examines particular challenges that podcasting poses to copyright law, and explains how copyright law is far-sighted in the modern world.

OLUWATOBILOBA OLAPEJU OLANREWAJU AND DORCAS ODUNAIKE

Trade Mark Law: Rethinking the Significance of the Figure of the Average Consumer 460

The perception of a consumer has played a pivotal role in the distinctiveness of trade marks and the resolution of trade mark disputes, hence an average consumer figure is asserted to be a guiding factor in identifying whether a trade mark is distinct, confusing or whether there is an interest to be protected. The aim of this article is to critically examine the figure of the average consumer and its significance under the trade mark law in relation to consumer perception. This article concludes that although the manner of determining consumer perception in trade mark law is sometimes inconsistent with actual behaviour, the figure of the average consumer should be maintained under trade mark law. It further suggests that a cross-disciplinary (doctrinal and empirical) approach should be employed by the courts in determining consumer perception and applying the figure of the average consumer in order to improve trade mark law.

Comments

RUTH HOY

When Does an Equitable Duty of Confidence Arise? Travel Counsellors Ltd v Trailfinders—Court of Appeal Judgment 467

In *Travel Counsellors Ltd v Trailfinders Ltd*, the Court of Appeal confirmed that a competitor business receiving information from ex-employees of its rival who have come to work for them will be liable for breach of confidence unless they make inquiries that a reasonable business would make to ensure that the information that are receiving is safe to use.

DR ELLA O'SULLIVAN

Artificial Intelligence and Inventors: Artificial Intelligence Challenges the Limits of European Patent Law in the DABUS Applications 469

The decision of the Receiving Section of the European Patent Office to reject the DABUS applications is evaluated. The relevant provisions of the European Patent Convention and the application of these provisions in establishing who may be an inventor by the EPO are considered. Two key obstacles to the patenting of AI are identified in the DABUS decision. These are the identification of inventors as human, and the difficulty of acquiring an invention from AI as a non-legal entity. This article concludes that the second obstacle presents the most notable challenge to patenting AI inventions, but that underplaying the role of AI in inventions may allow patent applicants to avoid this obstacle. It is suggested that an alternative AI patent regime may be required to deal with ongoing AI developments in order to avoid subversion of the European patent system.

LEONIE BOURDEAU AND STEFAN MARTIN

"Judge a Cheese by its Cover", Says the Court of Justice in the Morbier Case 475

On 17 December 2020, the Court of Justice handed down its decision in *Morbier*. The court stated that the scope of protection of a PGI/PDO is not limited to its name but may, in certain circumstances, cover the shape and the appearance of the product.

Book Review

CHARLES OPPENHEIM

The Cambridge Handbook of Copyright Limitations and Exceptions 479